

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/685,305	10/10/2000	Kean M. Anspach	A148 1540 (16164.0590.)	4349	
7	590 10/02/2002				
Jeffery B. Arnold Esq Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice PLLC PO Box 725388			EXAMINER		
			CHANG, VICTOR S		
Atlanta, GA 3	1139-9388		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			- AKT 5117	T/II EK NOMBER	
			1771	9	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3'		A	-5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/685,305	ANSPACH, KEAN M.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor S Chang	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a	
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee.	on on
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiency filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if	Γ
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See attached NOTE</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disappı	oved by the Examiner.	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/685,305

Art Unit: 1771

NOTE

- 1. The After Final Amendment is not entered, for several reasons: First, because the newly amended claim 1 recites "a barrier layer disposed <u>substantially</u> on <u>and covering between about 1% to about 10%</u> of the adhesive surface", and each of these underlined amendments clearly constitutes a new issue, i.e., it would require further consideration and/or search. Also, the newly introduced Markush group both clearly constitutes a new issue and also, while not recites "hollow glass beads" per se, still encompasses materials, such as silica, which can be configured and used as hollow glass beads, i.e., claim does not exclude hollow glass beads by merely introduce material compositions.
- 2. While Mr. Anspach's Declaration appears persuasive, it is untimely to submit Declaration after Final. If further prosecution, i.e., a CPA or RCE, is contemplated, the Examiner would like to strongly urge Applicants further limit the non-adhesive particles to solid particles, i.e., non-hollow particles, as stated in Mr. Anspach's Declaration.

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300-

1700

Page 2

Danul Zuken